## **Environment Scrutiny Panel**

## **Record of Meeting**

Date: 14th December 2011

Meeting No: 1

Present	Deputy J. Young, Chairman
	Connétable P. Rondel
	Deputy S. Luce
Apologies	
Absent	
In attendance	Mr. M. Orbell, Scrutiny Officer

Agenda matter	Action
1. Vice-chairman	
The Panel agreed to appoint Deputy Luce as Vice-chairman.	МО
2. Working practices	
a) Members noted the schedule of Panel meetings for 2012 previously circulated by email.	
<ul> <li>b) Expectations for the conduct of meetings and communication between the Scrutiny Office and members were discussed. The Panel fully supported the professional and consistent approach advocated by the Chairmen's Committee as the way forward.</li> <li>c) It was agreed that pending a response from the Privileges and</li> </ul>	
Procedures Committee and further consideration by the Chairmen's Committee, no filming of Panel hearings would be permitted.  d) Members noted provisional dates offered by departments in early January for briefing meetings with their respective Ministers. The	мо
3. Conflicts of interest	IVIO
The Panel discussed areas where individual members might potentially be conflicted in their Scrutiny rôles owing to personal or business interests. It was noted that all such matters were declared to the Greffe. No significant difficulties were expected, but members would make it known in future if particular matters under consideration by the Panel might give rise to any concerns.	МО
4. Scrutiny training	
Members considered proposals for Scrutiny Panels to visit the House of Commons and Portcullis House to view relevant Select Committees in action and meet Members and officers. It was noted that any such visits would need to be arranged soon, as bookings were already being taken for March 2012. The Chairman and Vice-chairman expressed an interest and the Scrutiny Officer was asked to liaise with other officers to see if a joint visit could be arranged between Panels with shared	мо
	1. Vice-chairman  The Panel agreed to appoint Deputy Luce as Vice-chairman.  2. Working practices  a) Members noted the schedule of Panel meetings for 2012 previously circulated by email. b) Expectations for the conduct of meetings and communication between the Scrutiny Office and members were discussed. The Panel fully supported the professional and consistent approach advocated by the Chairmen's Committee as the way forward. c) It was agreed that pending a response from the Privileges and Procedures Committee and further consideration by the Chairmen's Committee, no filming of Panel hearings would be permitted. d) Members noted provisional dates offered by departments in early January for briefing meetings with their respective Ministers. The Scrutiny Officer was asked to confirm the arrangements.  3. Conflicts of interest  The Panel discussed areas where individual members might potentially be conflicted in their Scrutiny rôles owing to personal or business interests. It was noted that all such matters were declared to the Greffe. No significant difficulties were expected, but members would make it known in future if particular matters under consideration by the Panel might give rise to any concerns.  4. Scrutiny training  Members considered proposals for Scrutiny Panels to visit the House of Commons and Portcullis House to view relevant Select Committees in action and meet Members and officers. It was noted that any such visits would need to be arranged soon, as bookings were already being taken for March 2012. The Chairman and Vice-chairman expressed an interest and the Scrutiny Officer was asked to liaise with other officers

5. Legacy report and work programme	
Members considered the legacy report of the outgoing Panel in detail and discussed possible areas for follow-up and future work. The Panel also considered topics suggested by the public. The Scrutiny Officer was asked to prepare a provisional list of topics prioritised by the Panel to inform the briefing meetings with Ministers in January,	MO
6. Ministerial response	
The Panel noted the response presented to the States by the new Minister for Transport and Technical Services on 12th December 2011 to the previous Panel's Review of Speed Limits: Revised Policy (S.R.4/2011). Members were advised that although media comment on the response made it appear as though the Scrutiny report had only recently been published, in fact the response was 7 months late.  The Panel agreed that there was no need for immediate action from the Panel in respect of the response. However, it was noted that the Minister had accepted a recommendation that identified the failure of the working group of States Members appointed to review speed limit policy successfully, and called for better use to be made of Scrutiny for such purposes. It was felt that this could give rise to some concern in the light of the new Chief Minister's comments about making more use of working parties of States Members in future. The Panel believed that clarity was lacking as to how Scrutiny and ministerial working groups would be expected to operate alongside one another, and it was suggested that the Chairmen's Committee could be asked to look into this matter.	JY
7. Forthcoming meetings	
<ul> <li>a) Briefing with the Minister for Planning and Environment: Monday 9th January 2012 at 1.00pm, Howard Davis Farm</li> <li>b) Panel meeting: Tuesday 10th January 2012, 2.00pm, Blampied Room, States Building</li> <li>c) Briefing with the Minister for Transport and Technical Services:</li> </ul>	МО
	Members considered the legacy report of the outgoing Panel in detail and discussed possible areas for follow-up and future work. The Panel also considered topics suggested by the public. The Scrutiny Officer was asked to prepare a provisional list of topics prioritised by the Panel to inform the briefing meetings with Ministers in January.  6. Ministerial response  The Panel noted the response presented to the States by the new Minister for Transport and Technical Services on 12th December 2011 to the previous Panel's Review of Speed Limits: Revised Policy (S.R.4/2011). Members were advised that although media comment on the response made it appear as though the Scrutiny report had only recently been published, in fact the response was 7 months late.  The Panel agreed that there was no need for immediate action from the Panel in respect of the response. However, it was noted that the Minister had accepted a recommendation that identified the failure of the working group of States Members appointed to review speed limit policy successfully, and called for better use to be made of Scrutiny for such purposes. It was felt that this could give rise to some concern in the light of the new Chief Minister's comments about making more use of working parties of States Members in future. The Panel believed that clarity was lacking as to how Scrutiny and ministerial working groups would be expected to operate alongside one another, and it was suggested that the Chairmen's Committee could be asked to look into this matter.  7. Forthcoming meetings  a) Briefing with the Minister for Planning and Environment: Monday 9th January 2012 at 1.00pm, Howard Davis Farm b) Panel meeting: Tuesday 10th January 2012, 2.00pm, Blampied Room, States Building